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Estimation of shear stiffness of interlayer connection in two-layer composite beams based on an analysis of natural frequencies
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Abstract. The paper shows possibilities in testing composite two-layer beams with flexible connectors (like bolts in steel-concrete beams and nails in wooden-concrete ones) offered by an analysis of their natural frequencies. It enables an estimation of shear compliance for the interlayer connection if Young’s moduli of layers are known thanks to finding the global minimum of function of error for model and measured natural frequencies of beam. The considerations are illustrated by the results of own laboratory-tests.
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1. Introduction

Composite structures (steel-concrete, wooden-concrete etc.) with compliant shear connection of their layers become more and more popular systems in the civil engineering. The first of all a considerable increase of their load capacity and stiffness resulting from combining their components should be appreciated for this type of structures. Moreover the problem of torsional-flexural buckling of more flexible steel (or wooden) profiles is eliminated thanks to connecting them with the rigid upper slab [3]. It should be stressed that these kinds of beam structures work correctly if the shear connection between the ferroconcrete slab and steel (wooden) bar elements shows enough low compliance. This characteristic is investigated the first of all by means of pushout tests (e.g. [2]) or measurements of beam deflection under static load (e.g. [4]). The paper shows another method of estimating the shear compliance for the beam interlayer joints based on an analysis of their natural frequencies which may be a complementary measuring method for the enumerated ones. The problem is illustrated by the experimental results obtained thanks to own tests carried out in the laboratory-scale.

2. Mathematical description of the problem

Assuming the simplest linear elastic model for a two-layer composite beam with a compliant interlayer connection (e.g. [4]) the system of differential equations for functions: \( w \), \( u_{1} \) and \( u_{2} \) (vertical displacement of the beam and horizontal displacements of the beam centre line of the lower and upper layer) may be written as follows (with neglected damping) (e.g. [5]):

\[
\begin{align*}
&w^{(v)} (E_{1}I_{1} + E_{2}I_{2}) - k^{v} w^{(v)} - k^{v} w^{(v)} + \kappa u_{1}^{(v)} + \kappa^{v}u_{1}^{(v)} - \kappa u_{1}^{(v)} - \kappa^{v}u_{1}^{(v)} u_{2}^{(v)} = -q - \mu w^{(v)} \\
&k w^{(v)} + E_{1}A_{1}u_{11}^{(v)} - k u_{1}^{(v)} + E_{2}A_{2}u_{21}^{(v)} - k u_{2}^{(v)} = \mu_{1}u_{11}^{(v)} + \mu_{2}u_{21}^{(v)}
\end{align*}
\]

where: \( k \) – stiffness of the shear connection (in [N·m⁻²]); \( E_{0} \) – Young’s modulus of layer (i); \( I_{0} \) – moments of inertia of layer (i); \( \omega = \sqrt{(h_{11} + h_{22})} \) where \( h_{0} \) is a cross-sectional height of layer (i); \( \rho_{0} \) – density over the length of layer (i); \( i=1,2 \) – index of beam layer. \( v^{(v)}, (v)^{v}, (v)^{(v)} \) – the second and fourth derivatives of function over x-axis; \( (\cdot)'' \) – the second time-derivative. Of course in order to solve the system for a specific problem it has to be completed by the proper boundary and initial
conditions. Using Finite Element Method (FEM) or Finite Difference Method (FDM) we can express the system (1) generally in the matrix form:

\[ Ku = P - Bu, \]

where: \( K \) – stiffness matrix, \( u \) – vector of node displacements, \( P \) – vector of forces (three terms in the node equations), \( B \) – inertia matrix. In order to formulate an eigenvalue problem for this case we assume in the equation (2) \( P = 0 \) and \( u = u_0 \sin(\alpha t + \theta) \) (e.g. [1]). Then we can obtain the following equation for eigenvalues \( \lambda_i \) of matrix \( KB^{-1} \) (and the same for natural frequencies \( \alpha_i \) of the beam):

\[ (K - \alpha^2 B)u_0 \sin(\alpha t + \theta) = 0 \rightarrow \det(KB^{-1} - \lambda I) = 0 \rightarrow \lambda_i = \alpha_i^2, \]

where: \( I \) – unit matrix, \( \theta \) – zero vector, \( u_0 \) – vector of free vibration amplitudes, \( t \) – time. If natural frequencies of real combined beam are known from measurements (thanks to the Fourier analysis of accelerations at chosen points in the real structure excited to test vibrations) then it is possible to estimate its stiffness \( k \) finding the minimum of the following exemplary error functions:

\[ F(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\alpha_i(\text{measurement}) - \alpha_i(\text{model})(k)}{\alpha_i(\text{measurement})} \right)^2 \]

or

\[ F(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\alpha_i(\text{measurement}) - \alpha_i(\text{model})(k)}{\alpha_i(\text{measurement})} \right)^2, \]

where: \( \alpha_i(\text{measurement}) \) – measured \( i \)-natural angular frequency for the real structure, \( \alpha_i(\text{model}) \) – \( i \)-natural angular frequency calculated basing on the assumed model, \( n \) – number of the first natural frequencies taken into considerations.

3. Experimental results in the laboratory-scale

To illustrate the measuring possibilities offered by the free vibration analysis in the discussed scope the experimental tests were carried out on cantilever beams in the laboratory-scale (at the temperature 20±2°C). The model of two-layer bar with complaint sheared joint was made from two plexiglass layers 1.5m long of rectangular cross-sections (b x h = 4cm x 2cm) connected by the adhesive double-sided tape on the sides 4cm wide. The used plexiglass was characterised by the following parameters: dynamic Young’s modulus \( E = 3.99 \text{ GPa} \), bulk density \( p = 1.174 \text{ kg/m}^3 \). The tape connection was used in the model to simulate the way of work of a real sheared joint.

Fig. 1. The static scheme of plexiglass composite laboratory cantilever beam.

The prepared two-layer bar was restrained on the solid steel element using the cramps so as to create the cantilever beam 1m long and three accelerometers (PCB 333B52 of external dimensions 11mm x 11mm x 11mm and weight ~11g with the connecting cables) were attached to the upper side of element as shown in the Fig 1. Next the cantilever was excited to vibrations by impacts put to the down side of element just under the accelerometers three times at each point. The accelerations were recorded on the PC computer using the software DASYLAB 10.0. An exemplary record of acceleration, which was obtained for the unbounded end of cantilever, is presented in the Fig. 2. Using the Fourier transform for all the records it was found that the mean values of the first two natural frequencies were equal to:

\[ f_1 = 10.43 \text{ Hz}, \quad f_2 = 68.69 \text{ Hz}. \]
Next basing on the above values of frequencies the minimum of function (4) (for \( n=2 \)) was found by a direct search of domain for the physically possible solutions. The values for \( \theta_{0(\text{model})} \) needed in the calculations were obtained by means of own computer program written in the Matlab environment in which the eigenvalue problem as defined by the equation (3) was solved using FDM. The diagram of function \( F \) vs. stiffness \( k \) is presented in the Fig. 3. It can be noticed that one minimum was obtained in the analysed interval and it is situated at the value of stiffness equal to \(-2 \times 10^3 \text{ Pa}\).

**Fig. 2.** The exemplary acceleration record at the unbounded end of cantilever beam.

![Exemplary acceleration record](image1)

**Fig. 3.** The error function (4) vs. shear stiffness for \( n=2 \) in the case of tested cantilever.

![Error function vs. shear stiffness](image2)

**Fig. 4.** Three first natural frequencies vs. shear stiffness \( k \) for the data corresponding to the combined cantilever of scheme as shown in the Fig. 1. The values of frequencies are normalised to their values at \( k \rightarrow \infty \).

Basing on these measurements it was also found that the mean value of fraction of critical damping \( \zeta \) was equal to \(-0.1 \) for the first mode of free vibrations. It is worth to mention that the fraction \( \zeta \) for the not combined plexiglass cantilever 1m long of cross-sectional dimensions \( b \times h = 4 \text{ cm} \times 2 \text{ cm} \) was equal to \(-0.04 \) what had been measured by the authors in the same way as described above. The considerable increase of damping in the case of combined model was caused by viscous properties of tape joint and introducing the mechanism of structural damping into the model this way. However the damping for the two-layer cantilever characterised by the fraction \( \zeta = 0.1 \) could not cause considerable errors in estimating the values of natural frequencies (e.g. [1]).
In order to show how a possible choice of number of the first natural frequencies taken into consideration (according to the pattern (4)) may influence on an accuracy of results the changes of three first ones vs. shear stiffness k are shown in the Fig. 4. The diagram was made for the data corresponding to the combined cantilever beam used in the tests described above and the values of frequencies were normalised to their values at k→∞. It can be noticed that the stiffness k can be determined more precisely if more natural frequencies are taken into account especially for its higher and low values. E.g. taking only one frequency f₁ in the expressions (4) their global minimum may be found with a considerable error if input data are noised because an increase of frequency related to a big increase of stiffness k is very small starting from a certain value for k (in the analysed diagram approximately at k=10^3 Pa). The same goes for the next frequencies but suitably at higher values of stiffness (in the analysed diagram approximately at k=3·10^3 Pa for f₂ and k=5·10^3 Pa for f₃). This fact may limits considerably the possibilities of application for the method if the number of first measured frequencies is also limited due to an used equipment and measuring conditions. Basing on the Fig. 4 one can state also that it should amount to 2 minimally.

4. Conclusions

The combined structures (especially two-layer beams) are more and more popular and willingly used in the civil engineering applications because of their optimal use of materials with keeping advisable stiffness and load capacity. That is why laboratory- and non-destructive test methods should be intensively developed in this range too. The method discussed in the work is based on the analysis of natural frequencies. It is investigated by the authors on the presented stage the first of all from the point of view of its application in measurements of interlayer shear stiffness for combined beams in the laboratory conditions as a comparative method for the adequate push-out tests (e.g. [2]). The method, as formulated in the work, may be used in the practice for diagnostic purposes on condition that a tested structural element can be described by the simple elastic beam model. Otherwise it needs more advanced geometrical and physical models and software. The presented considerations illustrate also the fact that dynamic characteristics of layer structures may be determined with considerable errors if the problem of slip in their shear connections is neglected.
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